

ISSN 2224-5294

ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ  
ҰЛТТЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМ АКАДЕМИЯСЫНЫҢ

Абай атындағы Қазақ ұлттық педагогикалық университетінің

# Х А Б А Р Л А Р Ы

---

---

## ИЗВЕСТИЯ

НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК  
РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН  
Қазақстан Республикасының  
Ғылым Академиясының  
Абай атындағы Қазақ ұлттық  
педагогикалық университетінің

## NEWS

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN  
Abay kazakh national  
pedagogical university

**SERIES**  
**OF SOCIAL AND HUMAN SCIENCES**

**1 (323)**

**JANUARY – FEBRUARY 2019**

PUBLISHED SINCE JANUARY 1962

PUBLISHED 6 TIMES A YEAR

ALMATY, NAS RK

Б а с р е д а к т о р

ҚР ҰҒА құрметті мүшесі  
**Балықбаев Т.О.**

Р е д а к ц и я а л қ а с ы :

экон. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **Баймұратов У.Б.**; тарих ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **Байпақов К.М.**; филос. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **Есім Г.Е.**; фил. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **Қирабаев С.С.**; эк. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **Қошанов А.К.**; эк. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **Нәрібаев К.Н.** (бас редактордың орынбасары); филос. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **Нысанбаев А.Н.**; заң ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **Сәбікенов С.Н.**; заң ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **Сүлейменов М.К.**; эк. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **Сатыбалдин С.С.**; тарих ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академик **Әбжанов Х.М.**; тарих ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр. мүшесі **Әбусейтова М.Х.**; тарих ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академик **Байтанаев Б.А.**; филол. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр. мүшесі **Жақып Б.А.**; фил. ғ. докторы, проф., академик НАН РК **Қалижанов У.К.**; филол. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академик **Қамзабекұлы Д.**; тарих ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академик **Қожамжарова Д.П.**; тарих ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА академик **Қойгелдиев М.К.**; фил. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр. мүшесі **Құрманбайұлы Ш.**; тарих ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр. мүшесі **Таймағанбетов Ж.К.**; социол. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр. мүшесі **Шәукенова З.К.**; фил. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр. мүшесі **Дербісәлі А.**; саяси. ғ. докторы, проф., **Бижанов А.К.**, тарих ғ. докторы, проф., **Кабульдинов З.Е.**; фил. ғ. докторы, проф., ҚР ҰҒА корр. мүшесі **Қажыбек Е.З.**

Р е д а к ц и я к е ң е с і :

Молдова Республикасының ҰҒА академигі **Белостечник Г.** (Молдова); Әзірбайжан ҰҒА академигі **Велиханлы Н.** (Азербайджан); Тәжікстан ҰҒА академигі **Назаров Т.Н.** (Тәжікстан); Молдова Республикасының ҰҒА академигі **Рошка А.** (Молдова); Молдова Республикасының ҰҒА академигі **Руснак Г.** (Молдова); Әзірбайжан ҰҒА корр. мүшесі **Муратов Ш.** (Әзірбайжан); Әзірбайжан ҰҒА корр. мүшесі **Сафарова З.** (Әзірбайжан); э. ғ. д., проф. **Василенко В.Н.** (Украина); заң ғ. докт., проф. **Устименко В.А.** (Украина)

**«Қазақстан Республикасы Ұлттық ғылым академиясының Хабарлары. Қоғамдық және гуманитарлық ғылымдар сериясы». ISSN 2224-5294**

Меншіктенуші: «Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы» РҚБ (Алматы қ.)

Қазақстан республикасының Мәдениет пен ақпарат министрлігінің Ақпарат және мұрағат комитетінде 30.04.2010 ж. берілген № **10894-Ж** мерзімдік басылым тіркеуіне қойылу туралы куәлік

Мерзімділігі: жылына 6 рет.

Тиражы: 500 дана.

Редакцияның мекенжайы: 050010, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28, 219 бөл., 220, тел.: 272-13-19, 272-13-18,  
<http://soc-human.kz/index.php/en/arhiv>

---

© Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы, 2019

Типографияның мекенжайы: «Аруна» ЖК, Алматы қ., Муратбаева көш., 75.

Главный редактор

Почетный член НАН РК

**Т.О. Балыкбаев**

Редакционная коллегия:

докт. экон. н., проф., академик НАН РК **У.Б. Баймуратов**; докт. ист. н., проф., академик НАН РК **К.М. Байпаков**; докт. филос. н., проф., академик НАН РК **Г.Е. Есим**; докт. фил. н., проф., академик НАН РК **С.С. Кирабаев**; докт. экон. н., проф., академик НАН РК **А.К. Кошанов**; докт. экон. н., проф., академик НАН РК **К.Н. Нармбаев** (заместитель главного редактора); докт. филос. н., проф., академик НАН РК **А.Н. Нысанбаев**; докт. юр. н., проф., академик НАН РК **С.Н. Сабиткенов**; докт. юр. н., проф., академик НАН РК **М.К. Сулейменов**; докт. экон. н., проф., академик НАН РК **С.С. Сатубалдин**; докт. ист. н., проф., академик НАН РК **Х.М. Абжанов**; докт. ист. н., проф., чл.-корр. НАН РК **М.Х. Абусейтова**; докт. ист. н., проф., академик НАН РК **Б.А. Байтанаев**; докт. фил. н., проф., чл.-корр. НАН РК **Б.А. Жакып**; докт. фиол. н., проф., академик НАН РК **У.К. Калижанов**; докт. фил. н., проф., академик НАН РК **Д. Камзабекулы**; докт. ист. н., проф., академик НАН РК **Д.П. Кожамжарова**; докт. ист. н., проф., академик НАН РК **М.К. Койгельдиев**; докт. фил. н., проф., чл.-корр. НАН РК **Ш. Курманбайулы**; докт. ист. н., проф., чл.-корр. НАН РК **Ж.К. Таймаганбетов**; докт. социол. н., проф., чл.-корр. НАН РК **З.К. Шаукенова**; д. фил. н., проф., чл.-корр. НАН РК **А. Дербисали**; доктор политических наук, проф., **Бижанов А.К.**; доктор ист. наук, проф., **Кабульдинов З.Е.**; доктор фил. н., проф., член-корр. НАН РК **Қажыбек Е.З.**

Редакционный совет

академик НАН Республики Молдова **Г. Белостечник** (Молдова); академик НАН Азербайджанской Республики **Н. Велиханлы** (Азербайджан); академик НАН Республики Таджикистан **Т.Н. Назаров** (Таджикистан); академик НАН Республики Молдова **А. Рошка** (Молдова); академик НАН Республики Молдова **Г. Руснак** (Молдова); чл.-корр. НАН Азербайджанской Республики **Ш. Мурадов** (Азербайджан), член-корр. НАН Азербайджанской Республики **З.Сафарова** (Азербайджан); д. э. н., проф. **В.Н. Василенко** (Украина); д.ю.н., проф. **В.А. Устименко** (Украина)

**Известия Национальной академии наук Республики Казахстан. Серия общественных и гуманитарных наук. ISSN 2224-5294**

Собственник: ООО «Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан» (г. Алматы)

Свидетельство о постановке на учет периодического печатного издания в Комитете информации и архивов Министерства культуры и информации Республики Казахстан № **10894-Ж**, выданное 30.04.2010 г.

Периодичность 6 раз в год

Тираж: 500 экземпляров

Адрес редакции: 050010, г. Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, ком. 219, 220, тел. 272-13-19, 272-13-18,

<http://soc-human.kz/index.php/en/arhiv>

---

© Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан, 2019 г.

Адрес типографии: ИП «Аруна», г. Алматы, ул. Муратбаева, 75

Chief Editor

Honorary member of NAS RK  
**Balykbayev T.O**

Editorial board:

Doctor of economics, prof, academician of NAS RK **Baimuratov U.B.**; doctor of history, prof, academician of NAS RK **Baipakov K.M.**; doctor of philosophy, prof, academician of NAS RK **Esim G.E.**; doctor of philology, prof, academician of NAS RK **Kirabayev S.S.**; doctor of economics, prof, academician of NAS RK **Koshanov A.K.**; doctor of economics, prof, academician of NAS RK **Naribayev K.N.** (deputy editor-in-chief); doctor of philosophy, prof, academician of NAS RK **Nyssanbayev A.N.**; doctor of law, prof, academician of NAS RK **Sabikenov S.N.**; doctor of law, prof, academician of NAS RK **Suleymenov M.K.**; doctor of economy, prof, academician of NAS RK **Satybaldin S.S.**; doctor of history, prof, academician of NAS RK **Abzhanov H.M.**; doctor of history, prof, corresponding member of NAS RK **Abuseitova M.H.**; doctor of history, prof, academician of NAS RK **Baitanaev B.A.**; doctor of philology, prof, corresponding member of NAS RK **Zhakyp B.A.**; doctor of philology, prof, academician of NAS RK **Kalizhanov U.K.**; doctor of philology, prof, academician of NAS RK **Hamzabekuly D.**; doctor of history, prof, academician of NAS RK **Kozhamzharova D.P.**; doctor of history, prof, academician of NAS RK **Koigeldiev M.K.**; doctor of philology, prof, corresponding member of NAS RK **Kurmanbaiuly Sh.**; doctor of history, prof, academician of NAS RK **Taimaganbetov J.K.**; doctor of sociology, prof, corresponding member of NAS RK **Shaukenova Z.K.**; doctor of philology, prof, corresponding member of NAS RK **Derbisali A.**; doctor of political science, prof **Bizhanov A.K.**; doctor of History, prof **Kabuldinov Z.E.**; doctor of philology, prof, corresponding member of NAS RK **Kazhybek E.Z.**

Editorial staff:

Academician NAS Republic of Moldova **Belostechnik.G** (Moldova); Academician NAS Republic of Azerbaijan **Velikhanli N.** (Azerbaijan); Academician NAS Republic of Tajikistan **Nazarov T.N.** (Tajikistan); Academician NAS Republic of Moldova **Roshka A.** (Moldova) Academician NAS Republic of Moldova **Rusnak G.** (Moldova); Corresponding member of the NAS Republic of Azerbaijan **Muradov Sh.** (Azerbaijan); Corresponding member of the NAS Republic of Azerbaijan **Safarova Z.** (Azerbaijan); Associate professor of Economics **Vasilenko V.N.** (Ukraine), Associate professor of Law **Ustimenko V.A.** (Ukraine)

**News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Series of Social and Humanities.**  
**ISSN 2224-5294**

Owner: RPA "National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan" (Almaty)

The certificate of registration of a periodic printed publication in the Committee of information and archives of the Ministry of culture and information of the Republic of Kazakhstan N **10894-Ж**, issued 30.04.2010

Periodicity: 6 times a year

Circulation: 500 copies

Editorial address: 28, Shevchenko str., of. 219, 220, Almaty, 050010, tel. 272-13-19, 272-13-18,  
<http://soc-human.kz/index.php/en/arhiv>

---

© National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2019

Address of printing house: ST "Aruna", 75, Muratbayev str, Almaty

**NEWS**

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN

**SERIES OF SOCIAL AND HUMAN SCIENCES**

ISSN 2224-5294

<https://doi.org/10.32014/2019.2224-5294.4>

Volume 1, Number 323 (2019), 32 – 38

**A.A. Karabalina<sup>1</sup>, Zh.A. Maydangalieva<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> K. Zhubanov Aktobe Regional State University, Aktobe;

<sup>2</sup> Baishev University, Aktobe, Kazakhstan

Aksaule2011@mail.ru, maydangalieva@mail.ru

**MOTHERS PEDAGOGICAL EXPECTATIONS AND ITS  
INFLUENCE ON FIRST GRADERS ACADEMICAL SUCCESS**

**Abstract.** In an article using the analysis of secondary data (poll of parents and teachers within the research"), it is shown that pedagogical representations of parents do not coincide with representations of professional teachers or psychologists that confirmed the relevance of separate individual studying of parental pedagogical representations. In materials of an interview with mothers of first graders, it is shown that mothers of first graders have a practical pedagogical argument: mothers described these or those justifications of intentions to work and actions about the child. This argument, as a rule, included references to the idea of the child, about the purposes of pedagogical influence or its wished results. In work contents and interrelation of elements of their practical pedagogical argument are described (for example, such categories used by them as "course of life" ("fate," "a direct way"), "mentality," "character," "norm").

**Keywords:** the pedagogical argument, pedagogical representations, the transition to training at school, a parenthood.

Child's home environment affects considerably his or her education. As demonstrated by extensive research carried out in the recent decades, parents are one of the key figures in the field of education. Parental practices and beliefs largely affect the conditions under which their child receives education, as well as the child's attitude to learning and his or her academic achievements. Attempts to overcome inequality in access to education face insufficient efforts exerted by professional teachers within educational institutions (for example [Savelyeva et al. 2016, Tenisheva et al. 2016, Goshin et al., 2017, Wilder 2014, Lareau 2011, Galambos 2003]). Russian and international experience of working with parents attest to the necessity of considering parents' views, rights, beliefs and other factors related to the situation of parents [Hämäläinen 1993]. However, in the modern context it proves to be a challenging task.

Firstly, one needs to take into account persisting demographic and institutional trends that have affected parenting in the recent decades and may have had an impact on the interaction between the child, his or her parents, and grandparents. Among the said trends one should especially consider the increase in the birth rate, the growing number of single-parent families and families with children whose parents got married for the second time, the increase in the divorce rate postponing the birth of the first child until a more mature age, the decline in the number of abortions, the decrease in the number of children born to parents who have not formally registered their marriage, the high proportion of nuclear families, and a large share of the population being oriented either at having many children or not having children at all [«Population of Russia – 2013» 2015, Shcherbakova 2014, Zabayev et al. 2012, Vovk 2005, Isupova 2000, Sinelnikov et al. 2010, Voronina et al. 2008]. Significant changes have been taking place in legislation regarding education and upbringing of children, as well as demographic and family policies [Chernova, Shpakovskaya 2013]. At the same time, experts are pointing at the increasing incidence of negative factors, such as dysfunctional family settings or violence affecting the life of a child in the family [for example, Volkova et al. 2016, Yarskaya-Smirnova et al. 2008].

Secondly, nowadays changes are taking place in the ways knowledge and beliefs about childrearing are transferred from generation to generation. As shown by research in ethnography and history, long before scientific theories were formulated, there already existed certain common beliefs about how and

why adults need to interact with the child [Aries 1999, Luhmann 2006, Kon 1988, Kosheleva 2009]. However, in contemporary Russia the majority of parents at the moment of birth of their first child lack or hardly have any experience of «holding a baby» [Zabaev et al. 2012, Gross et al. 1989]. Young moms and dads tend to have less trust in their parents as to matters related to their children [Sivak 2016, Arber et al. 2012]. Modern parents prefer to rely on the media, opinions of their peers, friends, or other parents rather than on advice from previous generations [Sobkin et al. 2013]. Studies of the image of parenting in young people's minds state that the perceptions of parenthood of the young generation can be described as diverse, contradictory, and inclusive of both traditional and modern cultural patterns [Bezrukov 2014].

In addition, parents face dozens of competing approaches to upbringing offered to them by psychology, medicine, and pedagogy [Chernova, Shpakovskaya 2016, Polivanova 2015]. There is a growing number of publications, websites and web communities offering advice on children and methods of upbringing [Chernova, Shpakovskaya 2013, Kukulkin, Mayofis 2010, Gurko 2000]. As the researchers note, what used to be a commonplace, relatively unimportant and private routine of families and children, has become the subject of intense debate about the effects produced by parental practices upon the new generation and the society in general [Lee et al. 2010, Hardyment 2007, Gillies 2006, Isupova 2000, Chernova, Shpakovskaya 2010, Shadrina 2017].

In the context of such variety, those who have children face the necessity to build their parental strategies on their own, to reflect their own pedagogical beliefs and to choose suitable models of upbringing [Chernova 2013]. Today's «good» parents are supposed to intensify their efforts and investments with respect to their child [Polivanova 2015, Chernova 2010, Hardyment 2007, Lee et al. 2014, Furedi 2001, Avdeeva 2012, Bezrukova 2016]. At the same time, it is worth noting that parents do not always succeed in implementing their ideas about parenting in practice. For example, in his study based on interviews with parents in big cities I.V. Zabaev shows that children between the ages of 7 to 17 prove to spend more time under the tutelage of educational and medical institutions rather than their parents. As a result, parents «often fail to see what tools they can use in the upbringing of their child» [Zabaev 2005]. Thus, on the one hand, it is recognized that parents' goals, values, attitudes, and practices should necessarily be taken into account, and that parents need to be involved in the learning process. On the other hand, there is a growing differentiation in the level of parental competence, parental practices and beliefs.

Since the twentieth century, parenting has been the focus of interest of historians, psychologists, sociologists, anthropologists, doctors, and teachers. A wide range of studies explores the problem of childhood and parenthood (for example, [Denzin 1973; Bluebond-Langner 1980; Qvortrup 2005; James et al. 2008; James et al. 2015; Jenks 1996; Osorina 2008; Arjes 1999; Bornstein et al. 2017]). It has been shown that different areas of adult life, such as family, career, and mental health, are affected by the context in which a person was growing up, which, in turn, is largely determined by parental practices [Kon 1988; Bronfenbrenner 1979; Domitrovich et al. 2001]. A large portion of research explores the correlation between parental practices and beliefs, on the one hand, and the academic results shown by children, on the other (please refer to [Roksa et al. 2011] for a review of studies on the issue).

Notwithstanding the above, the researchers acknowledge that so far there is no complete and consistent description of parenthood [e.g., Reid et al. 2015]. Therefore, many theoretical and methodological issues arise, whereby researchers agree that parenthood cannot be looked at as something constant, culturally universal, or contextually independent. In particular, J. Valsiner, continuing the line of reasoning of L.S. Vygotsky, shows that the context largely determines the development of the child [Valsiner 2000, Valsiner 2005]. This, *inter alia*, dictates what actions should be taken by parents and other surrounding people to support the child's development, not to mention the fact that in various cultures the goals of upbringing and development may vary significantly. Thus, it proves to be impossible to create a universal model of parenting practices. What a parent does, in what contexts he or she performs, what results he or she expects: all this proves to be determined by a complex amalgam of existing cultural concepts and practices.

State-of-the-art studies in the sphere of parenthood face the lack of thorough research methodology relevant to the phenomena under investigation. In addition, there is the gap in the knowledge of modern parenthood and, particularly, on the cognitive aspect of parenthood, including parental cognition and parental beliefs.

The present study focuses on everyday pedagogical beliefs of mothers in the situation when their child undergoes a transition from pre-school to elementary school education. The study follows the logic of J. Valsiner, who assumed that parental beliefs are primarily responses to challenges generated by the context. A child's transition to the first grade of an elementary school is a challenge relevant for most modern parents.

We focus on the following research question: what are modern parental beliefs? The goal of the study was the description of everyday pedagogical beliefs of parents at the time of the child's transfer to elementary school. Specific research tasks included:

- ✓ Analysing theoretical and methodological approaches to studying parental beliefs, synthesising the results of existing research in the field of parenting with the view to develop the research methodology;

- ✓ Identifying and describing everyday pedagogical beliefs of mothers at the time of the child's transfer from pre-school to the general school system.

The study of parental pedagogical beliefs was based on the concept of «folk theories». According to this approach, «folk theories» are cognitive elements that underlie a person's views on interrelations between phenomena [Devyatko et al. 2010, Sigel et al. 2014, Valsiner 2000, Malle 2004, Tudge 1993]. The theoretical framework for this kind of research is made up of concepts of social cognition, culture models, as well as folk theory, ethnotheory, and social representations [Goodnow et al. 1990, Reid et al. 1986, Super et al. 1986].

The concept of folk pedagogical theory lies at the core of the dissertation study. The concept of folk pedagogy was introduced by J. Bruner [Bruner 1990, Bruner 1996], who argued that the activities of a teacher are inevitably based on his or her assumptions about the nature of the student. Bruner believed that «educational practices are based on the sum of folk beliefs about the student» [Bruner 1990]. According to his definition, everyday pedagogies are the means used by the members of some sphere of activities (e.g., teachers) to organize their experience in this field (e.g., education), their knowledge about this field and their transactions with the social environment (e.g. School).

Analysis of interviews was based on the methodology of research of pedagogical views of teachers and parents [mcgillicuddy-delisi et al. 1995, Sigel et al. 2014, Tudge 1993, Kagan 1990], in particular, the methodology of identifying the practical pedagogical argument [Fenstermacher 1993, Fenstermacher 1986]. In order to clarify what the «pedagogical» argument means, we adopted the understanding of a pedagogy [Kohn 1988, Schedrovsky 1993] as a description of activities aimed at «modifying» the child using certain procedures in accordance with the image of a desired result or a certain cultural model. Thus, the «everyday pedagogical theory» includes the parents' beliefs of the «object» of change (child), their views regarding the ways, procedures, and methods of such change, as well as images of the desired result or the culturally established normative result.

We also took into account research on parent self-efficacy based on the theoretical framework proposed by A. Bandura in his theory of self-efficacy [Bandura 1977, Bandura 1989, Coleman et al. 2003]. Particularly, in accordance with the theory of A. Bandura, we considered the following components of the situation: the opportunity to acquire and expand the range of parental tools and methods, and the availability of interaction with the environment with respect to issues of parenthood etc., which we looked at as factors affecting the level of a mother's self-efficacy, i.e. Her ability to solve the problem and achieve the desired result [Bandura 1977].

The findings of the study can be summarized in the following way:

1. The analysis of interviews revealed that mothers do have practical pedagogical argumentation: mothers are able to describe their intentions to act and actions in relation to their child. Those descriptions usually included references to the initial state of their child, goals of pedagogical influence and the desired results of such influence on that state. Therefore, modern mothers are substantially involved in the education process of their child, despite the fact that most respondents are working full-time.

2. Parents are aware about different theories of upbringing and there is an abundance of psychological, pedagogical and other concepts found in parental beliefs. However, scientific and expert theories and recommendations influence parental practices indirectly by being included into practical pedagogical argumentation together with already existing pedagogical beliefs about the child or the goals of interaction with the child. Mothers use scientific terminology, such as “psyche”, “trauma”, “norm”,

“rules”, and “socialization”, but tend to attribute their own meaning to these terms and, at their discretion, “embed” them in their reasoning.

3. One should also note a contradictory nature and incompleteness of parents’ practical reasoning (which is recognized by the parents themselves). Parents say that they often encounter high expectations and criticism from their environment as well as experience failures or difficulties in the implementation of their ideas in practice.

Everyday pedagogical argumentation on child’s education is built around a number of categories the core of which are the following:

1. «Life path» («fate», «straight path»). This category points to the connection between the child’s present and future, including the stage of adulthood. In his or her parental practice a parent has to consider both the child’s life situation at present and the child’s future life prospects.

2. «Psyche» and «character» are inherent properties of a child. «Psyche» reflects the child’s vulnerability and fragility, while «character» represents his or her resistance to mother’s pedagogical influence. From parents’ point of view the resistance of the child is manifest as «laziness», «stubbornness» etc. The problem for parents here is how not to «traumatize» the psyche and, at the same time, «break» the character on the way to ensuring high academic achievements of the child.

3. «Norm». When describing a child, mothers evaluate him or her based on the child’s compliance with norms. In this, attribute scales (for example, «an ability to sit still», «the quality of memory») and scale values which are considered as «normal» (for example, «remembers everything from the first time») are different for the mothers interviewed. Parents’ anxiety is caused not only by worries about the child’s future («life path») or present («psyche» and «character»), but also originates from concerns on how well their child fits the image a «normal» child, and what to do when a child does not comply with a norm.

The scientific significance of the research lies in attempting to specify and articulate the construct of «everyday pedagogical theories» in relation to modern Kazakh parenting, as well as in the development of ideas of parental self-efficacy. Concerning the significance of the results for educational sphere, as we can see, parents face many factors that can lower their parental self-efficacy. This, in turn, may negatively affect their current parental practices and satisfaction with parenthood, as well as mastering new parental practices (including their involvement in child’s education).

Therefore, it is reasonable to take into account specifics of parental practices and beliefs, as well as factors influencing on parental self-efficacy, when creating programs of psychological and pedagogical accompanying of modern parenting.

The practical significance of findings lies in the fact that they can be used for further research focused on the national specifics of parenting and the problems of psychological and pedagogical support of parenting in Kazakhstan. The said materials may be used:

- ✓ To create programs parental support;
- ✓ To increase the involvement of parents and contribute to practical work with parents, educators, psychologists and centers for working with parents and children;
- ✓ To design course syllabi in Pedagogical Anthropology.

**А.А. Карабалина<sup>1</sup>, Ж.А. Майдангалиева<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Қ.Жұбанов атындағы Ақтөбе өңірлік мемлекеттік университетінің;

<sup>2</sup> С.Бәйшев атындағы Ақтөбе университеті

#### **АНАЛАРДЫҢ ПЕДАГОГИКАЛЫҚ ТҮСІНІГІН ҚАЛЫПТАСТЫРУ МӘСЕЛЕСІ ЖӘНЕ ОЛАРДЫҢ БІРІНШІ СЫНЫП ОҚУШЫЛАРЫН ОҚЫТУДЫҢ ТАБЫСТЫЛЫҒЫНА ӘСЕРІ**

**Аннотация.** Мақалада қайталама мәліметтерді талдау арқылы (зерттеу шеңбері бойынша ата-аналар мен педагогтардан сауалнама) көрсетілгендей ата-аналардың педагогикалық ұсыныстары кәсіби педагогтардың немесе психологтардың ұсыныстарымен сәйкес келмейді, бұл ата-аналардың педагогикалық ұсыныстарын арнайы жеке зерделеу керек екенін растады. Бірінші сынып оқушыларының аналарымен сұхбат материалдарында бірінші сынып оқушыларының аналарында тәжірибелік педагогикалық дәлелдер бар: аналар әрекет ету ниетінің қандай да бір негіздемелерін және өз баласына қатысты іс-әрекеттердің өздері



сипаттаған. Бұл дәлел, әдетте, бала туралы, педагогикалық ықпал ету мақсаттары туралы немесе оның қалаған нәтижелері туралы ұсынысқа сілтемеден тұрды. Жұмыста олардың практикалық педагогикалық аргументациясының (мысалы, "өмірлік жол" ("үлесі", "тура жол"), "психика", "мінез", "норма") қолданылатын санаттарының мазмұны мен өзара байланысы сипатталған.

**Түйін сөздер:** педагогикалық дәлел, педагогикалық түсінік, мектепте оқуға көшу, ата-ана.

УДК 37.018  
М 12

**А.А. Карабалина<sup>1</sup>, Ж.А. Майданғалиева<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Актюбинский региональный государственный университет им. К. Жубанова;

<sup>2</sup> Университет Баишева, Ақтобе, Қазақстан

### **ПРОБЛЕМА ФОРМИРОВАНИЯ ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКИХ ПРЕДСТАВЛЕНИЙ МАТЕРЕЙ И ИХ ВЛИЯНИЕ НА УСПЕШНОСТЬ ОБУЧЕНИЯ ПЕРВОКЛАССНИКОВ**

**Аннотация.** В статье при помощи анализа вторичных данных (опрос родителей и педагогов в рамках исследования) показано, что педагогические представления родителей не совпадают с представлениями профессиональных педагогов или психологов, что подтвердило актуальность специального отдельного изучения родительских педагогических представлений. В материалах интервью с матерями первоклассников продемонстрировано, что у матерей первоклассников присутствует практическая педагогическая аргументация: матери описывали те или иные обоснования намерений действовать и сами действия по отношению к своему ребенку. Данная аргументация, как правило, включала в себя отсылки к представлению о ребенке, о целях педагогического воздействия или о его желаемых результатах. В работе описаны содержание и взаимосвязь элементов их практической педагогической аргументации (например, таких используемых ими категорий как «жизненный путь» («участь», «прямой путь»), «психика», «характер», «норма»).

**Ключевые слова:** педагогическая аргументация, педагогические представления, переход к обучению в школе, родительство.

#### **Information about authors:**

Karabalina Aksaule Alipkaliyevna, candidate of psychological sciences, associated professor of K. Zhubanov ARSU;

Maydangalieva Zhumagul Aldiyarovna – PhD, senior Lecturer of faculty of Pedagogics of Preschool education and upbringing department, Aktobe University named after S. Baishev, Kazakhstan; maydangalieva@mail.ru; 0000-0003-3189-8880

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Bandura A. Human agency in social cognitive theory // American psychologist. **1989**. Т. 44. № 9. С. 1175.
- [2] Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change // Psychological Review, **1977**. Т. 84. № 2. С. 191-215.
- [3] Bluebond-Langner M. The private worlds of dying children. Princeton University Press, **1980**.
- [4] Bornstein M. H., Putnick D. L., Suwalsky J. T. D. Parenting cognitions → parenting practices → child adjustment? The standard model // Development and psychopathology. **2017**. С. 1-18.
- [5] Bronfenbrenner U. The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and
- [6] Daly M. Parenting support: another gender-related policy illusion in Europe? // Women's Studies International Forum. Pergamon, **2013**. Т. 41. С. 223-230.
- [7] Domitrovich C. E., Bierman K. L. Parenting practices and child social adjustment: Multiple pathways of influence // Merrill-Palmer Quarterly. **2001**. Т. 47. №2. С. 235-263.
- [8] Fenstermacher G. D. Philosophy of research on teaching: Three aspects // Handbook of research on teaching. **1986**. Т. 3. С. 37-49.
- [9] Fenstermacher G. D., Richardson V. The elicitation and reconstruction of practical arguments in teaching // Journal of curriculum studies. **1993**. Т. 25. №2. С. 101-114.
- [10] Füreidi F. Paranoid Parenting: Abandon Your Anxieties and be a Good Parent. Allen Lane, **2001**.
- [11] Galambos N. L., Barker E. T., Almeida D. M. Parents do matter: Trajectories of change in externalizing and internalizing problems in early adolescence // Child development. **2003**. Т. 74. №2. С. 578-594.
- [12] Gillies V. Marginalised mothers: Exploring working class experiences of parenting. Routledge, **2006**.
- [13] Hardyment C. Dream Babies: Childcare Advice from John Locke to Gina Ford. London: Frances Lincoln, **2007**. С. 382.
- [14] James A., Jenks C., Prout A. Theorizing Childhood. Cambridge: Polity Press, **2008**.

- [15] James A., Prout A. Constructing and reconstructing childhood: Contemporary issues in the sociological study of childhood. Routledge, **2015**.
- [16] Jenks C. Childhood. London: Routledge, 1996.
- [17] Kagan D. M. Ways of Evaluating Teacher Cognition: Inferences Concerning the Goldilocks Principle // Review of Educational Research. **1990**. T. 60. №3. C. 419-469.
- [18] Kolb D. A. Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. FT press, **2014**.
- [19] Lareau A. My wife can tell me who I know: Methodological and conceptual problems in studying fathers // Qualitative sociology. **2000**. T. 23. №4. C. 407-433.
- [20] Lareau A. Unequal childhoods: Class, race, and family life. University of California. Press, **2011**.
- [21] Lee E., Bristow J., Faircloth C., Macvarish, J. Parenting Culture Studies. Palgrave Macmillan, **2014**. C. 263.
- [22] Lee E., Macvarish J., Bristow J. Risk, health and parenting culture // Health, Risk and Society. **2010**. T.12. №4. P. 293-300.
- [23] Luhmann N. Das kind als medium der erziehung. Suhrkamp Verlag, **2006**.
- [24] Malle B.F. How the Mind Explains Behavior: Folk Explanations, Meaning, and Social Interaction. USA: MIT Press, **2004**. C. 344.
- [25] Mcgillicuddy-delisi A.V., Sigel I.E. Parental beliefs // Handbook of parenting. T.3: Status and social conditions of parenting. Hillsdale: Erlbaum Associates, **1995**. C. 333-358.
- [26] Qvortrup J. Childhood and modern society // Childhood: Critical Concepts in Sociology. 2005. T. 1. C. 110.
- [27] Reid C., Roberts L., Roberts C., Piek J. Towards a Model of Contemporary Parenting: The Parenting Behaviours and Dimensions Questionnaire. // Plos One. **2015**. T. 10. № 6. C. 1-23.
- [28] Roksa J., Potter D. Parenting and academic achievement intergenerational transmission of educational advantage // Sociology of Education. **2011**. T. 84. №4. C. 299-321.
- [29] Sanders M. R. Triple P-Positive Parenting Program: Towards an empirically validated multilevel parenting and family support strategy for the prevention of behavior and emotional problems in children // Clinical child and family psychology review. **1999**. T. 2. №2. C. 71-90.
- [30] Sigel I.E., mcgillicuddy-delisi A.V., Goodnow J.J. Parental Belief Systems: The Psychological Consequences for Children. UK: Psychology Press, **2014**. C. 262.
- [31] Sigel I.E., mcgillicuddy-delisi A.V., Goodnow J.J. Parental Belief Systems: The
- [32] Smith C., Perou R., Lesesne C. Parent education // Handbook of Parenting. Social Conditions and Applied Parenting. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. **2002**. T.4. C. 389-409.
- [33] Tudge J. R. H., Winterhoff P. A. Vygotsky, Piaget, and Bandura: Perspectives on the relations between the social world and cognitive development // Human Development. **1993**. T. 36. №2. C. 61-81.
- [34] Valsiner J. Culture and human development. Sage publication. **2000**. C. 240.
- [35] Wilder S. Effects of parental involvement on academic achievement: a meta-synthesis // Educational Review. **2014**. T. 66. № 3. C. 377-397.
- [36] Avdeeva A.V. "Vovlechennoe ottsovstvo" v sovremennoj Rossii: strategii uchastiya v ukhode za det'mi // Sotsiologicheskie issledovaniya. **2012**. №11. S. 95-104.
- [37] Ar'es F. Rebenok i semejnaya zhizn' pri starom poryadke. Izd-Vo Ural'sk. Universiteta, **1999**.
- [38] Bezrukova O. N. Modeli roditel'stva i roditel'skij potentsial: mezhpokolennyj analiz // Sotsiologicheskie issledovaniya. **2014**. T. 9. №9. S. 85-97.
- [39] Bezrukova O.N. tsenosti roditel'stva: struktura, tipy, resursy // Sotsiologicheskie issledovaniya. **2016**. № 3. S. 118-127
- [40] Venger L.A. Gotov li vash rebyonok k shkole. M. **1994**.
- [41] Vovk E.N. Nezaregistrirrovannye intimnye soyuzy: «raznovidnosti» braka ili «al'ternativy» emu? // Sotsial'naya real'nost'. **2005**. T. 2.
- [42] Vovk E.N. Stariki v sem'e: osobennosti mezhpokolencheskogo vzaimodejstviya// Otechestvennye zapiski. **2005**. №3.
- [43] Volkova E.N., Volkova I.V., Isaeva O.M. Otsenka rasprostranynnosti nasiliya nad det'mi // Sotsial'naya psikhologiya i obshhestvo. **2016**. Tom 7. №2. S. 19-34.
- [44] Voronina O.A., chernysh M.F. Gurko T.A. Brak i roditel'stvo v Rossii. M.: Institut sotsiologii RAN, **2008**. S. 325.
- [45] Goshin M. E., Kosaretskij S. G. Aktual'nye strategii povedeniya roditelej na rynke uslug dopolnitel'nogo obrazovaniya / Informatsionnyj byulleten' «Monitoring ehkonomiki obrazovaniya» № 18 (117), M.: Natsional'nyj issledovatel'skij universitet "Vysshaya shkola ehkonomiki", **2017**.
- [46] Gutkina N.I. Psikhologicheskaya gotovnost' k shkole. M. 2003.
- [47] Devyatko I.F., Abramov R.N., Kozhanov A.A. O predelakh i prirode deskriptivnogo obyden'nogo znaniya v sotsial'nom mire // Sotsiologicheskie issledovaniya. **2010**. № 9. S. 3-17.
- [48] Isupova O.G. Sotsial'nyj smysl materinstva v sovremennoj Rossii ("Vash rebenok nuzhen tol'ko Vam") // Sotsiologicheskie issledovaniya. **2000**. №11. S. 98-107.
- [49] Kon I.S. Rebenok i obshhestvo: istoriko-ehnologicheskaya perspektiva. M.: Nauka, **1988**. S. 270.
- [50] Kosheleva O.E. Otnoshenie k detyam v drevnerusskoj kul'ture // Nestor: zhurnal istorii i kul'tury Rossii i Vostochnoj Evropy. **2009**. №13. S. 13-46
- [51] Kukulin I. Majofis M., Novoe roditel'stvo i ego politicheskie aspekty // Pro et contra. 2010. T. 14. № 1-2. S. 6-19.
- [52] Naurzalina D. et al. (2015) The impact of family style education on high school student's grades. The European Proceedings of Social & Behavioural Sciences eISSN: 2357-1330. doi:10.15405/epsbs.2015.08.21
- [53] Osorina M.V., Sekretnyj mir detej v prostranstve mira vzroslykh. ID «Piter», **2008**. 359 s.

- [54] Petrenko P. Pedagogicheskoe prosveshchenie roditel'ev v otechestvennoj sisteme obrazovaniya v poslevoennye gody (Teoriya i praktika). Pyatigorsk, **2006**.
- [55] Polivanova K.N. Takie raznye shestiletki. Individual'naya gotovnost' k shkole: diagnostika i korrektsiya. M. Genezis. 2003.
- [56] Savel'eva S. S., Aleksandrov D. A. Lokal'nye ehffekty v vosproizvodstve neravenstva cherez sistemu obrazovaniya // XVI Aprel'skaya mezhdunarodnaya nauchnaya konferentsiya po problemam razvitiya ehkonomiki i obshhestva. Kn. 4. M.: ID NIU VSHEH, **2016**. S. 634-641.
- [57] Sobkin V.S., Skobel'tsina K.N., Ivanova A.I., Veryasova E.S. Sotsiologiya doskol'nogo detstva. Trudy po sotsiologii obrazovaniya. T. XVII. Vyp. XXIX. M.: Institut sotsiologii obrazovaniya RAO, 2013. 168 s.
- [58] D. Summers, S. Salish, A. Karabalina, V. Kubieva, A. Erbulatova, B. Almurzayeva, G. Nazarova. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PEDAGOGICAL ASPECTS OF MORAL EDUCATION IN KAZAKHSTAN. BULLETIN OF NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN ISSN 1991-3494 Volume 3, Number 373 (2018), 121–129. <https://doi.org/10.32014/2018.2518-1467>
- [59] D. Summers, N. Kushzhanov, B. Almurzayeva, M. Yesengulova, Y. Abdirakhmanova, R. Safarov, B. Imanov. MORAL DILEMMAS IN DIGITAL & FOREIGN WORLD. BULLETIN OF NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN ISSN 1991-3494 Volume 2, Number 372 (2018), 68–74. <https://doi.org/10.32014/2018.2518-1467>
- [60] Tenisheva K. A., Aleksandrov D. A. Neravenstvo v obrazovatel'nykh uspekhakh v rossijskoj shkole: klass, gender, etnichnost' // Sotsiologiya obrazovaniya. **2016**. № 1. S. 64-74.
- [61] Chernova ZH. V., shpakovskaya L. L. Professionalizatsiya roditel'stva: mezhduehkspertnym i obydenным znaniem // zhurnal issledovaniy sotsial'noj politiki. **2016**. T. 14. №4.
- [62] Chernova ZH., shpakovskaya L. Molodye vzroslye: supruzhestvo, partnerstvo i roditel'stvo. Diskursivnye predpisaniya i praktiki v sovremennoj Rossii // Laboratorium. Zhurnal sotsial'nykh issledovaniy. **2010**. №3.
- [63] Chernova ZH., shpakovskaya L.L. Diskursivnye modeli sovremennogo rossijskogo roditel'stva // zhenshhina V Rossijskom Obshhestve. **2013**. №2. S. 14-26.
- [64] Shadrina A. Dorogie deti: sokrashchenie rozhdaemosti i rost «tseny» materinstva v XXI veke. Novoe Literaturnoe Obozrenie, **2017**.
- [65] Shedrovitskij G.P. Pedagogika i logika: Sistema pedagogicheskikh issledovaniy. M.: Kastal', **1993**. C. 291.
- [66] Utemissova, G., Summers, D., Urmurzina, B., Abdirakhmanova, Y., Summers, S. A. B. (2018) PSYCHOLINGUISTIC STUDY OF SUGGESTIVE METHODS IN EDUCATION // Bulletin of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. P. 159–166 // WOS:000435934600022. <https://doi.org/10.32014/2018.2518-1467>
- [67] Yarskaya-Smirnova E.R. Domashnee nasilie nad det'mi: strategii ob'yasneniya i protivodejstviya // Sotsiologicheskie issledovaniya. **2008**. № 1. S. 57-64.

**PUBLICATION ETHICS AND PUBLICATION MALPRACTICE  
IN THE JOURNALS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN**

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see <http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics> and <http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics>.

Submission of an article to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see <http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy>), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct ([http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New\\_Code.pdf](http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New_Code.pdf)). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service Cross Check <http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect>.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the National Academy of sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The Editorial Board of the National Academy of sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

Правила оформления статьи для публикации в журнале смотреть на сайте:

[www.nauka-nanrk.kz](http://www.nauka-nanrk.kz)

<http://soc-human.kz/index.php/en/arhiv>

Редакторы *М.С. Ахметова, Т.А. Апендиев, Д.С. Аленов*  
Верстка на компьютере *А.М. Кульгинбаевой*

Подписано в печать 10.02.2019  
Формат 60x881/8. Бумага офсетная. Печать – ризограф.  
п.л. Тираж 500. Заказ 1.